Formal Introduction to SPARQL

Claudio Gutierrez (Joint work with Marcelo Arenas and Jorge Perez)

Department of Computer Science Universidad de Chile

> Center for Web Research http://www.cwr.cl

UPM - Madrid, Jan 2009

SPARQL: A simple RDF query language

```
SELECT ?Name ?Email
WHERE
{
    ?X :name ?Name
    ?X :email ?Email
}
```

► The *semantics* of simple SPARQL queries is easy to understand.

SPARQL: A simple RDF query language

```
SELECT ?Name ?Email
WHERE
{
    ?X :name ?Name
    ?X :email ?Email
}
```

► The semantics of simple SPARQL queries is easy to understand.

"Give me the name and email of the resources in the datasource"

- Grouping
- Optional parts
- ▶ Nesting
- Union of patterns
- ► Filtering
- **....**

```
{ P1
  P2 }
```

- Grouping
- Optional parts
- Nesting
- Union of patterns
- ► Filtering
- **....**

```
{ { P1
    P2 }
  { P3
    P4 }
```

- Grouping
- Optional parts
- Nesting
- Union of patterns
- ► Filtering
-

```
{ { P1
    P2
    OPTIONAL { P5 } }
  { P3
    P4
    OPTIONAL { P7 } }
```

- Grouping
- Optional parts
- Nesting
- Union of patterns
- ► Filtering
- ·

```
{ { P1
   P2
   OPTIONAL { P5 } }
 { P3
   P4
   OPTIONAL { P7
     OPTIONAL { P8 } }
```

- Grouping
- Optional parts
- Nesting
- Union of patterns
- ► Filtering
-

```
{ { P1
    P2
    OPTIONAL { P5 } }
  { P3
    P4
    OPTIONAL { P7
      OPTIONAL { P8 } }
UNTON
{ P9 }
```

- Grouping
- Optional parts
- Nesting
- Union of patterns
- Filtering
-

```
{ { P1
    P2
    OPTIONAL { P5 } }
  { P3
    P4
    OPTIONAL { P7
      OPTIONAL { P8 } }
UNTON
{ P9
 FILTER (R) }
```

- Grouping
- Optional parts
- Nesting
- Union of patterns
- Filtering
- **....**

```
{ { P1
    P2
    OPTIONAL { P5 } }
  { P3
    P4
    OPTIONAL { P7
      OPTIONAL { P8 } }
UNTON
{ P9
  FILTER (R) }
```

A formal semantics for SPARQL

A formal semantics for SPARQL

A formal approach is beneficial:

- Clarifies corner cases
- Helps in the implementation process
- Provides sound foundations

A formal semantics for SPARQL

A formal approach is beneficial:

- Clarifies corner cases
- Helps in the implementation process
- Provides sound foundations

We will present:

- A formal compositional semantics based on [PAG06: Semantics and Complexity of SPARQL]
- ► This formalization is the starting point of the official semantics of the SPARQL language by the W3C.
- ▶ Differences with W3C semantics are neglibible.
- Simple, clean, correct.



Outline

Basic Syntax

Semantics

Datasets

Query result forms

Dealing with bnodes

Dealing with duplicates

First of all, a simplified algebraic syntax

► Triple patterns: RDF triple + variables (no bnodes for now)

(?X, name, ?Name)

First of all, a simplified algebraic syntax

► Triple patterns: RDF triple + variables (no bnodes for now)

Basic graph pattern (BGP): a set of triple patterns

$$\{t_1,t_2,\ldots,t_k\}.$$

This is the base case of the algebra of patterns.

First of all, a simplified algebraic syntax

► Triple patterns: RDF triple + variables (no bnodes for now)

Basic graph pattern (BGP): a set of triple patterns

$$\{t_1,t_2,\ldots,t_k\}.$$

This is the base case of the algebra of patterns.

```
\{(?X, name, ?Name), (?X, email, ?Email)\}
```

First of all, a simplified algebraic syntax (cont.)

▶ There are three basic binary operators:

AND, UNION, OPT.

► They are used to construct graph pattern expressions from basic graph patterns.

First of all, a simplified algebraic syntax (cont.)

▶ There are three basic binary operators:

AND, UNION, OPT.

- ► They are used to construct graph pattern expressions from basic graph patterns.
- ➤ A SPARQL graph pattern is an expression built from the basic operators. Example:

```
(((\{t_1,t_2\} \ \mathsf{AND} \ t_3) \ \mathsf{OPT} \ \{t_4,t_5\}) \ \mathsf{AND} \ (t_6 \ \mathsf{UNION} \ \{t_7,t_8\}))
```

Note that it is a full parenthesized expression

First of all, a simplified algebraic syntax (cont.)

▶ There are three basic binary operators:

AND, UNION, OPT.

- ► They are used to construct graph pattern expressions from basic graph patterns.
- ➤ A SPARQL graph pattern is an expression built from the basic operators. Example:

```
(((\{t_1,t_2\} \ \mathsf{AND} \ t_3) \ \mathsf{OPT} \ \{t_4,t_5\}) \ \mathsf{AND} \ (t_6 \ \mathsf{UNION} \ \{t_7,t_8\}))
```

Note that it is a full parenthesized expression

 Full parenthesized expressions give us explicit precedence/association.

Definition

A mapping is a partial function from variables to RDF terms.

Definition

A mapping is a partial function from variables to RDF terms.

Given a mapping μ and a basic graph pattern P:

Definition

A mapping is a partial function from variables to RDF terms.

Given a mapping μ and a basic graph pattern P:

▶ dom(μ): the domain of μ .

Definition

A mapping is a partial function from variables to RDF terms.

Given a mapping μ and a basic graph pattern P:

- ▶ dom(μ): the domain of μ .
- $\blacktriangleright \mu(P)$: the set obtained from P replacing the variables according to μ

Definition

A mapping is a partial function from variables to RDF terms.

Given a mapping μ and a basic graph pattern P:

- ▶ dom(μ): the domain of μ .
- \blacktriangleright $\mu(P)$: the set obtained from P replacing the variables according to μ

```
\mu = \{?X \rightarrow R_1, ?Y \rightarrow R_2, ?Name \rightarrow \mathsf{john}, ?Email \rightarrow \mathsf{J@ed.ex}\} P = \{(?X, \mathsf{name}, ?Name), (?X, \mathsf{email}, ?Email)\} \mu(P) = \{(R_1, \mathsf{name}, \mathsf{john}), (R_1, \mathsf{email}, \mathsf{J@ed.ex})\}
```

Definition

A mapping is a partial function from variables to RDF terms.

Given a mapping μ and a basic graph pattern P:

- ▶ dom(μ): the domain of μ .
- $\blacktriangleright \mu(P)$: the set obtained from P replacing the variables according to μ

```
\mu = \{?X \rightarrow R_1, ?Y \rightarrow R_2, ?Name \rightarrow \mathsf{john}, ?Email \rightarrow \mathsf{J@ed.ex}\} P = \{(?X, \mathsf{name}, ?Name), (?X, \mathsf{email}, ?Email)\} \mu(P) = \{(R_1, \mathsf{name}, \mathsf{john}), (R_1, \mathsf{email}, \mathsf{J@ed.ex})\}
```

Definition

A mapping is a partial function from variables to RDF terms.

Given a mapping μ and a basic graph pattern P:

- ▶ dom(μ): the domain of μ .
- $\blacktriangleright \mu(P)$: the set obtained from P replacing the variables according to μ

$$\mu = \{?X \rightarrow R_1, ?Y \rightarrow R_2, ?Name \rightarrow \mathsf{john}, ?Email \rightarrow \mathsf{J@ed.ex}\}$$

$$P = \{(?X, \mathsf{name}, ?Name), \ (?X, \mathsf{email}, ?Email)\}$$

$$\mu(P) = \{(R_1, \mathsf{name}, \mathsf{john}), \ (R_1, \mathsf{email}, \mathsf{J@ed.ex})\}$$

Definition

A mapping is a partial function from variables to RDF terms.

Given a mapping μ and a basic graph pattern P:

- ▶ dom(μ): the domain of μ .
- $\blacktriangleright \mu(P)$: the set obtained from P replacing the variables according to μ

```
\mu = \{?X \rightarrow R_1, ?Y \rightarrow R_2, ?Name \rightarrow \mathsf{john}, ?Email \rightarrow \mathsf{J@ed.ex}\} P = \{(?X, \mathsf{name}, ?Name), \ (?X, \mathsf{email}, ?Email)\} \mu(P) = \{(R_1, \mathsf{name}, \mathsf{john}), \ (R_1, \mathsf{email}, \mathsf{J@ed.ex})\}
```

The semantics of basic graph pattern

Definition

The evaluation of the BGP P over a graph G, denoted by $[\![P]\!]_G$, is the set of all mappings μ such that:

- ightharpoonup dom(μ) is exactly the set of variables occurring in P
- $\blacktriangleright \mu(P) \subseteq G$

The semantics of basic graph pattern

Definition

The evaluation of the BGP P over a graph G, denoted by $[\![P]\!]_G$, is the set of all mappings μ such that:

- ▶ $dom(\mu)$ is exactly the set of variables occurring in P
- \blacktriangleright $\mu(P) \subseteq G$

The semantics of basic graph pattern

Definition

The evaluation of the BGP P over a graph G, denoted by $[\![P]\!]_G$, is the set of all mappings μ such that:

- ▶ $dom(\mu)$ is exactly the set of variables occurring in P
- \blacktriangleright $\mu(P) \subseteq G$

```
(R_1, \text{ name, john})
(R_1, \text{ email, J@ed.ex})
(R_2, \text{ name, paul})
```

 $[[\{(?X, name, ?Y)\}]]_G$

$$\begin{pmatrix} G \\ (R_1, \text{ name, john}) \\ (R_1, \text{ email, J@ed.ex}) \\ (R_2, \text{ name, paul}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} [\{(?X, \text{ name, ?Y})\}]]_G \\ \mu_1 = \{?X \rightarrow R_1, ?Y \rightarrow \text{john}\} \\ \mu_2 = \{?X \rightarrow R_2, ?Y \rightarrow \text{paul}\} \end{bmatrix}$$

```
(R_1, \text{ name, john})
                   (R_1, \text{ email}, \text{J@ed.ex})
                       (R_2, name, paul)
                 [[\{(?X, name, ?Y)\}]]_{G}
 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mu_1 = \{?X \rightarrow R_1, ?Y \rightarrow \mathsf{john}\} \\ \mu_2 = \{?X \rightarrow R_2, ?Y \rightarrow \mathsf{paul}\} \end{array} \right\}
[[\{(?X, name, ?Y), (?X, email, ?E)\}]]_G
```

```
(R_1, \text{ name, john})
                               (R_1, email, J@ed.ex)
                                  (R_2, name, paul)
                             [[\{(?X, name, ?Y)\}]]_{G}
             \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mu_1 = \{?X \rightarrow R_1, ?Y \rightarrow \mathsf{john}\} \\ \mu_2 = \{?X \rightarrow R_2, ?Y \rightarrow \mathsf{paul}\} \end{array} \right\}
            [\{(?X, name, ?Y), (?X, email, ?E)\}]_{G}
\{ \mu = \{?X \rightarrow R_1, ?Y \rightarrow \mathsf{john}, ?E \rightarrow \mathsf{J@ed.ex} \} \}
```

 $[\![\{(?X,\,\mathsf{name},\,?Y),(?X,\,\mathsf{email},\,?E)\}]\!]_{G}$

	?X	? <i>Y</i>	? <i>E</i>
μ	R_1	john	J@ed.ex

Example $(R_1, \text{ name, john})$ $(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$ $(R_2, name, paul)$ $[[\{(R_3, name, ringo)\}]]_G$ $[[\{(R_1, \text{webPage}, ?W)\}]]_G$ $[[{}]$ $[[\{(R_2, name, paul)\}]]_G$

Example $(R_1, \text{ name, john})$ $(R_1, \text{email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$ $(R_2, name, paul)$ $[[\{(R_3, name, ringo)\}]]_G$ $[[\{(R_1, \text{webPage}, ?W)\}]]_G$ $[[{}]$ $[[\{(R_2, name, paul)\}]]_G$

Example $(R_1, \text{ name, john})$ $(R_1, \text{ email}, \text{J@ed.ex})$ $(R_2, name, paul)$ $[[\{(R_3, name, ringo)\}]]_G$ $[[\{(R_1, \text{webPage}, ?W)\}]]_G$ $[[\{(R_2, name, paul)\}]]_G$ $[[{}][{}]]_{G}$

```
(R_1, \text{ name, john})
                               (R_1, \text{ email}, \text{J@ed.ex})
                                  (R_2, name, paul)
                                                          [\{(R_3, name, ringo)\}]_G
[\{(R_1, \text{webPage}, ?W)\}]_G
 [[\{(R_2, name, paul)\}]]_G
                                                                      [[{}][{}]]_{G}
       \{ \mu_{\emptyset} = \{ \} \}
```

```
(R_1, name, john)
                                (R_1, \text{ email}, \text{J@ed.ex})
                                  (R_2, name, paul)
                                                           [\{(R_3, name, ringo)\}]_G
[\{(R_1, \text{webPage}, ?W)\}]_G
 [[\{(R_2, name, paul)\}]]_G
                                                                       [[{}][{}]]_{G}
       \{ \mu_{\emptyset} = \{ \} \}
                                                                  \{ \mu_{\emptyset} = \{ \} \}
```

Definition

The mappings μ_1 , μ_2 are compatibles iff they agree in their shared variables:

```
▶ \mu_1(?X) = \mu_2(?X) for every ?X \in dom(\mu_1) \cap dom(\mu_2). \mu_1 \cup \mu_2 is also a mapping.
```

Definition

The mappings μ_1 , μ_2 are compatibles iff they agree in their shared variables:

▶ $\mu_1(?X) = \mu_2(?X)$ for every $?X \in dom(\mu_1) \cap dom(\mu_2)$.

 $\mu_1 \cup \mu_2$ is also a mapping

Definition

The mappings μ_1 , μ_2 are compatibles iff they agree in their shared variables:

▶ $\mu_1(?X) = \mu_2(?X)$ for every $?X \in dom(\mu_1) \cap dom(\mu_2)$.

 $\mu_1 \cup \mu_2$ is also a mapping.

Definition

The mappings μ_1 , μ_2 are compatibles iff they agree in their shared variables:

 \blacktriangleright $\mu_1(?X) = \mu_2(?X)$ for every $?X \in \text{dom}(\mu_1) \cap \text{dom}(\mu_2)$.

 $\mu_1 \cup \mu_2$ is also a mapping.

	?X	?Y	?U	? <i>V</i>
$\mu_1 \ \mu_2 \ \mu_3$	R_1 R_1	john	J@edu.ex P@edu.ex	R_2

Definition

The mappings μ_1 , μ_2 are compatibles iff they agree in their shared variables:

 $\blacktriangleright \mu_1(?X) = \mu_2(?X)$ for every $?X \in dom(\mu_1) \cap dom(\mu_2)$.

 $\mu_1 \cup \mu_2$ is also a mapping.

	?X	? <i>Y</i>	?U	? <i>V</i>
$\mu_1 \ \mu_2 \ \mu_3$	R_1 R_1	john	J@edu.ex P@edu.ex	R_2

Definition

The mappings μ_1 , μ_2 are compatibles iff they agree in their shared variables:

 $\blacktriangleright \mu_1(?X) = \mu_2(?X)$ for every $?X \in dom(\mu_1) \cap dom(\mu_2)$.

 $\mu_1 \cup \mu_2$ is also a mapping.

	?X	? <i>Y</i>	? <i>U</i>	? <i>V</i>
μ_1	R_1	john		
μ_{2}	R_1		J@edu.ex	
μ_3			P@edu.ex	R_2
$\mu_1 \cup \mu_2$	R_1	john	J@edu.ex	

Definition

The mappings μ_1 , μ_2 are compatibles iff they agree in their shared variables:

 $\blacktriangleright \mu_1(?X) = \mu_2(?X)$ for every $?X \in dom(\mu_1) \cap dom(\mu_2)$.

 $\mu_1 \cup \mu_2$ is also a mapping.

	?X	? <i>Y</i>	? <i>U</i>	? <i>V</i>
μ_1	R_1	john		
μ_2	R_1		J@edu.ex	
μ_{3}			P@edu.ex	R_2
$\mu_1 \cup \mu_2$	R_1	john	J@edu.ex	

Definition

The mappings μ_1 , μ_2 are compatibles iff they agree in their shared variables:

 \blacktriangleright $\mu_1(?X) = \mu_2(?X)$ for every $?X \in \mathsf{dom}(\mu_1) \cap \mathsf{dom}(\mu_2)$.

 $\mu_1 \cup \mu_2$ is also a mapping.

	?X	? <i>Y</i>	?⋃	? <i>V</i>
μ_1	R_1	john		
μ_2	R_1		J@edu.ex	
μ_{3}			P@edu.ex	R_2
$\mu_1 \cup \mu_2$	R_1	john	J@edu.ex	
$\mu_1 \cup \mu_3$	R_1	john	P@edu.ex	R_2

Definition

The mappings μ_1 , μ_2 are compatibles iff they agree in their shared variables:

 \blacktriangleright $\mu_1(?X) = \mu_2(?X)$ for every $?X \in \text{dom}(\mu_1) \cap \text{dom}(\mu_2)$.

 $\mu_1 \cup \mu_2$ is also a mapping.

Example

	?X	? <i>Y</i>	? <i>U</i>	? <i>V</i>
μ_1	R_1	john		
μ_2	R_1		J@edu.ex	
μ_3			P@edu.ex	R_2
$\mu_1 \cup \mu_2$	R_1	john	J@edu.ex	
$\mu_1 \cup \mu_3$	R_1	john	P@edu.ex	R_2

 $\mu_{\emptyset} = \{ \}$ is compatible with every mapping.

Let M_1 and M_2 be sets of mappings:

Definition

Join: $M_1 \bowtie M_2$

- ▶ $\{\mu_1 \cup \mu_2 \mid \mu_1 \in M_1, \mu_2 \in M_2, \text{ and } \mu_1, \mu_2 \text{ are compatibles}\}$
- ightharpoonup extending mappings in M_1 with compatible mappings in M_2

will be used to define AND

Let M_1 and M_2 be sets of mappings:

Definition

Join: $M_1 \bowtie M_2$

- ▶ $\{\mu_1 \cup \mu_2 \mid \mu_1 \in M_1, \mu_2 \in M_2, \text{ and } \mu_1, \mu_2 \text{ are compatibles}\}$
- lacktriangle extending mappings in M_1 with compatible mappings in M_2

will be used to define AND

Definition

Union: $M_1 \cup M_2$

- $\blacktriangleright \{\mu \mid \mu \in M_1 \text{ or } \mu \in M_2\}$
- ightharpoonup mappings in M_2 (the usual set union)

will be used to define UNION



Definition

Difference: $M_1 \setminus M_2$

- ▶ $\{\mu \in M_1 \mid \text{ for all } \mu' \in M_2, \mu \text{ and } \mu' \text{ are not compatibles}\}$
- ightharpoonup mappings in M_1 that cannot be extended with mappings in M_2

Definition

Difference: $M_1 \setminus M_2$

- ▶ $\{\mu \in M_1 \mid \text{ for all } \mu' \in M_2, \mu \text{ and } \mu' \text{ are not compatibles}\}$
- \blacktriangleright mappings in M_1 that cannot be extended with mappings in M_2

Definition

Left outer join: $M_1 \bowtie M_2 = (M_1 \bowtie M_2) \cup (M_1 \setminus M_2)$

- \blacktriangleright extension of mappings in M_1 with compatible mappings in M_2
- \blacktriangleright plus the mappings in M_1 that cannot be extended.

will be used to define OPT



Definition

Given a graph ${\it G}$ the evaluation of a pattern is recursively defined

Definition

Given a graph G the evaluation of a pattern is recursively defined

$$ightharpoonup [[(P_1 \text{ AND } P_2)]]_G = [[P_1]]_G \bowtie [[P_2]]_G$$

Definition

Given a graph G the evaluation of a pattern is recursively defined

- $[[(P_1 \text{ AND } P_2)]]_G = [[P_1]]_G \bowtie [[P_2]]_G$
- $[[(P_1 \text{ UNION } P_2)]]_G = [[P_1]]_G \cup [[P_2]]_G$

Definition

Given a graph G the evaluation of a pattern is recursively defined

- $ightharpoonup [[(P_1 \text{ AND } P_2)]]_G = [[P_1]]_G \bowtie [[P_2]]_G$
- $[[(P_1 \text{ UNION } P_2)]]_G = [[P_1]]_G \cup [[P_2]]_G$
- $[[(P_1 \ \mathsf{OPT} \ P_2)]]_G = [[P_1]]_G \bowtie [[P_2]]_G$

```
 \begin{array}{c} (R_1, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{john}) & (R_2, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{paul}) & (R_3, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{ringo}) \\ G: & (R_1, \, \mathsf{email}, \, \mathsf{J@ed.ex}) & (R_3, \, \mathsf{email}, \, \mathsf{R@ed.ex}) \\ & & (R_3, \, \mathsf{webPage}, \, \mathsf{www.ringo.com}) \end{array}
```

```
[[\{(?X, name, ?N)\}] AND \{(?X, email, ?E)\}]]_G
```

```
\begin{array}{c} (R_1, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{john}) & (R_2, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{paul}) & (R_3, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{ringo}) \\ G : (R_1, \, \mathsf{email}, \, \mathsf{J@ed.ex}) & (R_3, \, \mathsf{email}, \, \mathsf{R@ed.ex}) \\ & (R_3, \, \mathsf{webPage}, \, \mathsf{www.ringo.com}) \end{array}
```

```
[[\{(?X, name, ?N)\}] AND \{(?X, email, ?E)\}]]_G
[[\{(?X, name, ?N)\}]]_G \bowtie [[\{(?X, email, ?E)\}]]_G
```

	? <i>X</i>	? <i>N</i>
μ_1	R_1	john
μ_2	R_2	paul
μ_{3}	R_3	ringo

```
\begin{array}{c} (\textit{R}_{1}, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{john}) & (\textit{R}_{2}, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{paul}) & (\textit{R}_{3}, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{ringo}) \\ \textit{G} : & (\textit{R}_{1}, \, \mathsf{email}, \, \mathsf{J@ed.ex}) & (\textit{R}_{3}, \, \mathsf{email}, \, \mathsf{R@ed.ex}) \\ & & (\textit{R}_{3}, \, \mathsf{webPage}, \, \mathsf{www.ringo.com}) \end{array}
```

 $[[\{(?X, name, ?N)\}] AND \{(?X, email, ?E)\}]]_G$ $[[\{(?X, name, ?N)\}]]_G \bowtie [[\{(?X, email, ?E)\}]]_G$

	?X	? <i>N</i>
μ_1	R_1	john
μ_2	R_2	paul
μ_{3}	R_3	ringo

	?X	? <i>E</i>
ļ	R_1	J@ed.ex
5	R_3	R@ed.ex

```
\begin{array}{c} (R_1, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{john}) & (R_2, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{paul}) & (R_3, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{ringo}) \\ G: & (R_1, \, \mathsf{email}, \, \mathsf{J@ed.ex}) & (R_3, \, \mathsf{email}, \, \mathsf{R@ed.ex}) \\ & & (R_3, \, \mathsf{webPage}, \, \mathsf{www.ringo.com}) \end{array}
```

 $[[\{(?X, name, ?N)\}] AND \{(?X, email, ?E)\}]]_G$ $[[\{(?X, name, ?N)\}]]_G \bowtie [[\{(?X, email, ?E)\}]]_G$

 \bowtie

	?X	?N
μ_1	R_1	john
μ_2	R_2	paul
μ_{3}	R_3	ringo

1	$\mu_{ t 4}$
	115

?X	? <i>E</i>
R_1	J@ed.ex
R_3	R@ed.ex

```
\begin{array}{c} (\textit{R}_{1}, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{john}) & (\textit{R}_{2}, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{paul}) & (\textit{R}_{3}, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{ringo}) \\ \textit{G} : & (\textit{R}_{1}, \, \mathsf{email}, \, \mathsf{J@ed.ex}) & (\textit{R}_{3}, \, \mathsf{email}, \, \mathsf{R@ed.ex}) \\ & & (\textit{R}_{3}, \, \mathsf{webPage}, \, \mathsf{www.ringo.com}) \end{array}
```

$$[[\{(?X, name, ?N)\}] AND \{(?X, email, ?E)\}]]_G$$

 $[[\{(?X, name, ?N)\}]]_G \bowtie [[\{(?X, email, ?E)\}]]_G$

	?X	? <i>N</i>
μ_1	R_1	john
μ_2	R_2	paul
μ_{3}	R_3	ringo

4	$\mu_{ extsf{4}}$
	μ_{5}

?X	?E
R_1	J@ed.ex
R_3	R@ed.ex

	?X	? <i>N</i>	? <i>E</i>
$\mu_1 \cup \mu_4$	R_1	john	J@ed.ex
$\mu_{3} \cup \mu_{5}$	R_3	ringo	R@ed.ex

```
(R_1, \text{ name, john}) (R_2, \text{ name, paul}) (R_3, \text{ name, ringo}) (R_3, \text{ email, R@ed.ex}) (R_3, \text{ embPage, www.ringo.com})
```

```
[[\{(?X, name, ?N)\}] OPT \{(?X, email, ?E)\}]]_G
```

```
G: \begin{array}{c} (R_1, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{john}) \\ (R_1, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{john}) \\ (R_2, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{paul}) \\ (R_3, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{ringo}) \\ (R_3, \, \mathsf{email}, \, \mathsf{R@ed.ex}) \\ (R_3, \, \mathsf{webPage}, \, \mathsf{www.ringo.com}) \\ \\ \llbracket \{(?X, \, \mathsf{name}, \, ?N)\} \rrbracket_G \bowtie \llbracket \{(?X, \, \mathsf{email}, \, ?E)\} \rrbracket_G \\ \\ \llbracket \{(?X, \, \mathsf{name}, \, ?N)\} \rrbracket_G \bowtie \llbracket \{(?X, \, \mathsf{email}, \, ?E)\} \rrbracket_G \\ \\ \end{array}
```

```
(R_1, \text{ name, john}) (R_2, \text{ name, paul}) (R_3, \text{ name, ringo}) (R_3, \text{ email, R@ed.ex}) (R_3, \text{ webPage, www.ringo.com})
```

```
[[\{(?X, name, ?N)\}] OPT \{(?X, email, ?E)\}]]_G
[[\{(?X, name, ?N)\}]]_G \bowtie [[\{(?X, email, ?E)\}]]_G
```

	?X	? <i>N</i>
u_1	R_1	john
u_2	R_2	paul
u_3	R_3	ringo

```
\begin{array}{c} \textit{(R$_1$, name, john)} & \textit{(R$_2$, name, paul)} & \textit{(R$_3$, name, ringo)} \\ \textit{\textit{G}} : & \textit{(R$_1$, email, J@ed.ex)} & \textit{(R$_3$, email, R@ed.ex)} \\ & & \textit{(R$_3$, webPage, www.ringo.com)} \end{array}
```

 $[[\{(?X, name, ?N)\}] OPT \{(?X, email, ?E)\}]]_G$ $[[\{(?X, name, ?N)\}]]_G \bowtie [[\{(?X, email, ?E)\}]]_G$

	?X	?N
μ_1	R_1	john
μ_2	R_2	paul
μ_3	R_3	ringo

	? <i>X</i>	?E
μ_{4}	R_1	J@ed.ex
μ_{5}	R_3	R@ed.ex

```
\begin{array}{c} \textit{(R$_1$, name, john)} & \textit{(R$_2$, name, paul)} & \textit{(R$_3$, name, ringo)} \\ \textit{G}: & \textit{(R$_1$, email, J@ed.ex)} & \textit{(R$_3$, email, R@ed.ex)} \\ & & \textit{(R$_3$, webPage, www.ringo.com)} \end{array}
```

	?X	? <i>N</i>
μ_1	R_1	john
μ_2	R_2	paul
μ_{3}	R_3	ringo

4	μ_{4}
•	μ4

?X	?E
R_1	J@ed.ex
R_3	R@ed.ex

```
\begin{array}{c} \textit{(R$_1$, name, john)} & \textit{(R$_2$, name, paul)} & \textit{(R$_3$, name, ringo)} \\ \textit{\textit{G}} : & \textit{(R$_1$, email, J@ed.ex)} & \textit{(R$_3$, email, R@ed.ex)} \\ & & \textit{(R$_3$, webPage, www.ringo.com)} \end{array}
```

$$[[\{(?X, name, ?N)\}] OPT \{(?X, email, ?E)\}]]_G$$

 $[[\{(?X, name, ?N)\}]]_G \bowtie [[\{(?X, email, ?E)\}]]_G$

	?X	? <i>N</i>
μ_1	R_1	john
μ_2	R_2	paul
μ_{3}	R_3	ringo

 \bowtie μ_4

?X	? <i>E</i>
R_1	J@ed.ex
R_3	R@ed.ex

	?X	? <i>N</i>	?E
$\mu_1 \cup \mu_4$	R_1	john	J@ed.ex
$\mu_{3}\cup\mu_{5}$	R_3	ringo	R@ed.ex
μ_{2}	R_2	paul	

```
(R_1, \text{ name, john}) (R_2, \text{ name, paul}) (R_3, \text{ name, ringo}) (R_3, \text{ email, } R@ed.ex) (R_3, \text{ webPage, www.ringo.com})
```

$$[[\{(?X, name, ?N)\}] OPT \{(?X, email, ?E)\}]]_G$$

 $[[\{(?X, name, ?N)\}]]_G \bowtie [[\{(?X, email, ?E)\}]]_G$

	?X	? <i>N</i>
μ_1	R_1	john
μ_2	R_2	paul
μ_{3}	R_3	ringo

R_1	john	™	Ца
R_2	paul	7.4	μ_4
Ra	ringo		μ_{5}

	?X	? <i>N</i>	?E
$\mu_1 \cup \mu_4$	R_1	john	J@ed.ex
$\mu_{3}\cup\mu_{5}$	R_3	ringo	R@ed.ex
μ_{2}	R_2	paul	

?E J@ed.ex R@ed.ex

Example (UNION)

```
 \begin{array}{c} (R_1, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{john}) & (R_2, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{paul}) & (R_3, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{ringo}) \\ G: & (R_1, \, \mathsf{email}, \, \mathsf{J@ed.ex}) & (R_3, \, \mathsf{email}, \, \mathsf{R@ed.ex}) \\ & & (R_3, \, \mathsf{webPage}, \, \mathsf{www.ringo.com}) \end{array}
```

 $[[\{(?X, email, ?Info)\}] \cup NION \{(?X, webPage, ?Info)\}]]_G$

```
G: \begin{array}{c} (R_1, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{john}) & (R_2, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{paul}) & (R_3, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{ringo}) \\ (R_1, \, \mathsf{email}, \, \mathsf{J@ed.ex}) & (R_3, \, \mathsf{email}, \, \mathsf{R@ed.ex}) \\ (R_3, \, \mathsf{webPage}, \, \mathsf{www.ringo.com}) & \\ & [[\{(?X, \, \mathsf{email}, \, ?\mathit{Info})\}] \, \mathsf{UNION} \, \{(?X, \, \mathsf{webPage}, \, ?\mathit{Info})\}]]_G \\ & [[\{(?X, \, \mathsf{email}, \, ?\mathit{Info})\}]]_G \cup [[\{(?X, \, \mathsf{webPage}, \, ?\mathit{Info})\}]]_G \\ & \\ \end{array}
```

```
(R_1, \, \text{name, john}) (R_2, \, \text{name, paul}) (R_3, \, \text{name, ringo}) (R_3, \, \text{email, } \, \text{R@ed.ex}) (R_3, \, \text{email, } \, \text{R@ed.ex}) (R_3, \, \text{webPage, www.ringo.com})
```

	?X	?Info
μ_1	R_1	J@ed.ex
μ_2	R_3	R@ed.ex

	?X	?Info
μ_{3}	R_3	www.ringo.com

```
\begin{array}{c} (R_1, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{john}) & (R_2, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{paul}) & (R_3, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{ringo}) \\ G: & (R_1, \, \mathsf{email}, \, \mathsf{J@ed.ex}) & (R_3, \, \mathsf{email}, \, \mathsf{R@ed.ex}) \\ & & (R_3, \, \mathsf{webPage}, \, \mathsf{www.ringo.com}) \end{array}
```

	?X	?Info
μ_1	R_1	J@ed.ex
μ_2	R_3	R@ed.ex



	?X	?Info
3	R_3	www.ringo.com

```
\begin{array}{c} \textit{(R$_1$, name, john)} & \textit{(R$_2$, name, paul)} & \textit{(R$_3$, name, ringo)} \\ \textit{(C}: & \textit{(R$_1$, email, J@ed.ex)} & \textit{(R$_3$, email, R@ed.ex)} \\ & \textit{(R$_3$, webPage, www.ringo.com)} \end{array}
```

	?X	?Info
μ_1	R_1	J@ed.ex
μ_2	R_3	R@ed.ex



	?X	?Info
l3	R_3	www.ringo.com

	?X	?Info		
μ_1	R_1	J@ed.ex		
μ_2	R_3	R@ed.ex		
μ_{3}	R_3	www.ringo.com		

Boolean filter expressions (value constraints)

In filter expressions we consider

- ▶ the equality = among variables and RDF terms
- a unary predicate bound
- ▶ boolean combinations (\land, \lor, \lnot)

A mapping μ satisfies

- $?X = c \text{ if } \mu(?X) = c$
- $?X = ?Y \text{ if } \mu(?X) = \mu(?Y)$
- ▶ bound(?X) if μ is defined in ?X, i.e. ? $X \in dom(\mu)$

Satisfaction of value constraints

▶ If P is a graph pattern and R is a value constraint then (P FILTER R) is also a graph pattern.

Definition

Given a graph G

▶ $[[(P \text{ FILTER } R)]]_G = \{\mu \in [[P]]_G \mid \mu \text{ satisfies } R\}$ i.e. mappings in the evaluation of P that satisfy R

Satisfaction of value constraints

▶ If P is a graph pattern and R is a value constraint then (P FILTER R) is also a graph pattern.

Definition

Given a graph G

▶ $[[(P \text{ FILTER } R)]]_G = \{\mu \in [[P]]_G \mid \mu \text{ satisfies } R\}$ i.e. mappings in the evaluation of P that satisfy R.

```
\begin{array}{c} (R_1, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{john}) & (R_2, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{paul}) & (R_3, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{ringo}) \\ G : (R_1, \, \mathsf{email}, \, \mathsf{J@ed.ex}) & (R_3, \, \mathsf{email}, \, \mathsf{R@ed.ex}) \\ & (R_3, \, \mathsf{webPage}, \, \mathsf{www.ringo.com}) \end{array}
```

```
[[(\{(?X, name, ?N)\} FILTER (?N = ringo \lor ?N = paul))]]_G
```

```
\begin{array}{c} (\textit{R}_{1}, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{john}) & (\textit{R}_{2}, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{paul}) & (\textit{R}_{3}, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{ringo}) \\ \textit{G} : & (\textit{R}_{1}, \, \mathsf{email}, \, \mathsf{J@ed.ex}) & (\textit{R}_{3}, \, \mathsf{email}, \, \mathsf{R@ed.ex}) \\ & & (\textit{R}_{3}, \, \mathsf{webPage}, \, \mathsf{www.ringo.com}) \end{array}
```

$$[[(\{(?X, \mathsf{name}, ?N)\} \mathsf{FILTER} \ (?N = \mathsf{ringo} \ \lor \ ?N = \mathsf{paul}))]]_{G}$$

	?X	? <i>N</i>
μ_1	R_1	john
μ_2	R_2	paul
μ_{3}	R_3	ringo

$$\begin{array}{c} (\textit{R}_{1}, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{john}) & (\textit{R}_{2}, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{paul}) & (\textit{R}_{3}, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{ringo}) \\ \textit{G} : & (\textit{R}_{1}, \, \mathsf{email}, \, \mathsf{J@ed.ex}) & (\textit{R}_{3}, \, \mathsf{email}, \, \mathsf{R@ed.ex}) \\ & & (\textit{R}_{3}, \, \mathsf{webPage}, \, \mathsf{www.ringo.com}) \end{array}$$

$$\llbracket (\{(?X,\,\mathsf{name},\,?N)\} \; \mathsf{FILTER} \; (?N = \mathsf{ringo} \, \vee \, ?N = \mathsf{paul})) \rrbracket_{\mathcal{G}}$$

	?X	? <i>N</i>
μ_1	R_1	john
μ_2	R_2	paul
μ_{3}	R_3	ringo

$$?N = ringo \lor ?N = paul$$

$$\begin{array}{c} (\textit{R}_{1}, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{john}) & (\textit{R}_{2}, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{paul}) & (\textit{R}_{3}, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{ringo}) \\ \textit{G} : & (\textit{R}_{1}, \, \mathsf{email}, \, \mathsf{J@ed.ex}) & (\textit{R}_{3}, \, \mathsf{email}, \, \mathsf{R@ed.ex}) \\ & & (\textit{R}_{3}, \, \mathsf{webPage}, \, \mathsf{www.ringo.com}) \end{array}$$

$$\llbracket (\{(?X, \mathsf{name}, ?N)\} \mathsf{FILTER} \; (?N = \mathsf{ringo} \lor ?N = \mathsf{paul})) \rrbracket_{\mathcal{G}}$$

	?X	? <i>N</i>
μ_1	R_1	john
μ_2	R_2	paul
μ_3	R_3	ringo

$$?N = ringo \lor ?N = paul$$

	? <i>X</i>	? <i>N</i>
u_2	R_2	paul
u_3	R_3	ringo

```
\begin{array}{c} (R_1, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{john}) & (R_2, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{paul}) & (R_3, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{ringo}) \\ G: & (R_1, \, \mathsf{email}, \, \mathsf{J@ed.ex}) & (R_3, \, \mathsf{email}, \, \mathsf{R@ed.ex}) \\ & & (R_3, \, \mathsf{webPage}, \, \mathsf{www.ringo.com}) \end{array}
```

```
[[((\{(?X, \mathsf{name}, ?N)\} \mathsf{OPT} \{(?X, \mathsf{email}, ?E)\}) \mathsf{FILTER} \neg \mathsf{bound}(?E))]]_G
```

```
\begin{array}{c} (\textit{R}_1, \, \mathsf{name, \, john}) & (\textit{R}_2, \, \mathsf{name, \, paul}) & (\textit{R}_3, \, \mathsf{name, \, ringo}) \\ \textit{G} : & (\textit{R}_1, \, \mathsf{email, \, J@ed.ex}) & (\textit{R}_3, \, \mathsf{email, \, R@ed.ex}) \\ & & (\textit{R}_3, \, \mathsf{webPage, \, www.ringo.com}) \end{array}
```

	?X	? <i>N</i>	?E
$\mu_1 \cup \mu_4$	R_1	john	J@ed.ex
$\mu_{3} \cup \mu_{5}$	R_3	ringo	R@ed.ex
μ_2	R_2	paul	

```
\begin{array}{c} (R_1, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{john}) & (R_2, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{paul}) & (R_3, \, \mathsf{name}, \, \mathsf{ringo}) \\ \mathsf{G} : & (R_1, \, \mathsf{email}, \, \mathsf{J@ed.ex}) & (R_3, \, \mathsf{email}, \, \mathsf{R@ed.ex}) \\ & & (R_3, \, \mathsf{webPage}, \, \mathsf{www.ringo.com}) \end{array}
```

	?X	? <i>N</i>	? <i>E</i>
$\mu_1 \cup \mu_4$	R_1	john	J@ed.ex
$\mu_{3} \cup \mu_{5}$	R_3	ringo	R@ed.ex
μ_{2}	R_2	paul	

 \neg bound(?E)

```
\begin{array}{c} (\textit{R}_1, \, \mathsf{name, \, john}) & (\textit{R}_2, \, \mathsf{name, \, paul}) & (\textit{R}_3, \, \mathsf{name, \, ringo}) \\ \textit{G} : & (\textit{R}_1, \, \mathsf{email, \, J@ed.ex}) & (\textit{R}_3, \, \mathsf{email, \, R@ed.ex}) \\ & & (\textit{R}_3, \, \mathsf{webPage, \, www.ringo.com}) \end{array}
```

 $[((\{(?X, \mathsf{name}, ?N)\} \mathsf{OPT} \{(?X, \mathsf{email}, ?E)\}) \mathsf{FILTER} \neg \mathsf{bound}(?E))]]_G$

	?X	? <i>N</i>	? <i>E</i>
$\mu_1 \cup \mu_4$	R_1	john	J@ed.ex
$\mu_{3} \cup \mu_{5}$	R_3	ringo	R@ed.ex
μ_2	R_2	paul	

 \neg bound(?E)

	?X	?N
μ_2	R_2	paul

FILTER: differences with the official specification

- ▶ We restrict to the case in which all variables in R are mentioned in P.
- This restriction is not imposed in the official specification by W3C.

FILTER: differences with the official specification

- ▶ We restrict to the case in which all variables in R are mentioned in P.
- ► This restriction is not imposed in the official specification by W3C.
- ▶ The semantics without the restriction does not modify the expressive power of the language.

One of the interesting features of SPARQL is that a query may retrieve data from different sources.

Definition

$$\mathcal{D} = \{\textit{G}_{0}, \langle \textit{u}_{1}, \textit{G}_{1} \rangle, \langle \textit{u}_{2}, \textit{G}_{2} \rangle, \ldots, \langle \textit{u}_{n}, \textit{G}_{n} \rangle\}$$

- ▶ G_0 is the default graph, $\langle u_i, G_i \rangle$ are named graphs
- ▶ name(\mathcal{D}) = { $u_1, u_2, ..., u_n$ }
- $ightharpoonup d_{\mathcal{D}}$ is a function such $d_{\mathcal{D}}(u_i) = G_i$.

One of the interesting features of SPARQL is that a query may retrieve data from different sources.

Definition

$$\mathcal{D} = \{G_0, \langle u_1, G_1 \rangle, \langle u_2, G_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle u_n, G_n \rangle\}$$

- ▶ G_0 is the default graph, $\langle u_i, G_i \rangle$ are named graphs
- $ightharpoonup d_{\mathcal{D}}$ is a function such $d_{\mathcal{D}}(u_i) = G_i$.

One of the interesting features of SPARQL is that a query may retrieve data from different sources.

Definition

$$\mathcal{D} = \{G_0, \langle u_1, G_1 \rangle, \langle u_2, G_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle u_n, G_n \rangle\}$$

- ▶ G_0 is the default graph, $\langle u_i, G_i \rangle$ are named graphs
- $\qquad \mathsf{name}(\mathcal{D}) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$
- ▶ $d_{\mathcal{D}}$ is a function such $d_{\mathcal{D}}(u_i) = G_i$.



One of the interesting features of SPARQL is that a query may retrieve data from different sources.

Definition

$$\mathcal{D} = \{G_0, \langle u_1, G_1 \rangle, \langle u_2, G_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle u_n, G_n \rangle\}$$

- ▶ G_0 is the default graph, $\langle u_i, G_i \rangle$ are named graphs
- $\qquad \mathsf{name}(\mathcal{D}) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$
- ▶ d_D is a function such $d_D(u_i) = G_i$.



The **GRAPH** operator

if u is an IRI, ?X is a variable and P is a graph pattern, then

- ▶ (*u* GRAPH *P*) is a graph pattern
- \triangleright (?X GRAPH P) is a graph pattern

The **GRAPH** operator

if u is an IRI, ?X is a variable and P is a graph pattern, then

- \blacktriangleright (u GRAPH P) is a graph pattern
- \triangleright (?X GRAPH P) is a graph pattern

GRAPH will permit us to dynamically change the graph against which our pattern is evaluated.

Definition

Given a dataset $\ensuremath{\mathcal{D}}$ and a graph pattern $\ensuremath{\textit{P}}$

Definition

$$\llbracket (u \mathsf{GRAPH} P) \rrbracket_{G} = \llbracket P \rrbracket_{d_{\mathcal{D}}(u)}$$

Definition

$$\llbracket (u \mathsf{GRAPH} P) \rrbracket_G = \llbracket P \rrbracket_{d_{\mathcal{D}}(u)}$$

$$[[(?X GRAPH P)]]_G =$$

Definition

$$[[(u \mathsf{GRAPH} P)]]_G = [[P]]_{d_{\mathcal{D}}(u)}$$

$$[[(?X \mathsf{GRAPH} P)]]_G = \bigcup_{u \in \mathsf{name}(\mathcal{D})}$$

Definition

$$[[(u \text{ GRAPH } P)]]_G = [[P]]_{d_D(u)}$$

$$[[(?X \mathsf{GRAPH} P)]]_G = \bigcup_{u \in \mathsf{name}(\mathcal{D})} [[P]]_{d_{\mathcal{D}}(u)}$$

Definition

$$[\![(u \mathsf{GRAPH} P)]\!]_G = [\![P]\!]_{d_{\mathcal{D}}(u)}$$

$$\llbracket (?X \mathsf{GRAPH} P) \rrbracket \rbrace_G = \bigcup_{u \in \mathsf{name}(\mathcal{D})} \left(\llbracket P \rrbracket \rbrace_{d_{\mathcal{D}}(u)} \bowtie \{ \{?X \to u\} \} \right)$$

Definition

Given a dataset $\mathcal D$ and a graph pattern P

$$[[(u \text{ GRAPH } P)]]_G = [[P]]_{d_D(u)}$$

$$\llbracket (?X \mathsf{GRAPH} P) \rrbracket_G = \bigcup_{u \in \mathsf{name}(\mathcal{D})} \left(\llbracket P \rrbracket_{d_{\mathcal{D}}(u)} \bowtie \{ \{?X \to u\} \} \right)$$

Definition

The evaluation of a general pattern P against a dataset \mathcal{D} , denoted by $[\![P]\!]_{\mathcal{D}}$, is the set $[\![P]\!]_{\mathcal{G}_0}$ where \mathcal{G}_0 is the default graph in \mathcal{D} .

Example (GRAPH) \mathcal{D}

 \mathcal{D}

 G_0 : \langle tb, G_1 :

 $(R_1, \text{ name, john})$ $(R_1, \text{ email, J@ed.ex})$ $(R_2, \text{ name, paul})$

```
 \begin{array}{c} \textit{G}_0\colon \\ \langle \; \mathsf{tb},\; \textit{G}_1\colon & \begin{pmatrix} \textit{R}_1,\; \mathsf{name},\; \mathsf{john} \end{pmatrix} & (\textit{R}_2,\; \mathsf{name},\; \mathsf{paul}) \\ \langle \; \mathsf{trs},\; \textit{G}_2\colon & \begin{pmatrix} \textit{R}_4,\; \mathsf{name},\; \mathsf{mick} \end{pmatrix} & (\textit{R}_5,\; \mathsf{name},\; \mathsf{keith}) \\ \langle \; \mathsf{R}_4,\; \mathsf{name},\; \mathsf{mick} \end{pmatrix} & (\textit{R}_5,\; \mathsf{email},\; \mathsf{K@ed.ex}) \end{array} \right)
```

 \mathcal{D}

```
\mathcal{D}
         G_0:
\langle tb, G_1: \langle trs, G_2:
                                                      (R_2, name, paul)
                      (R_1, name, john)
                      (R_1, \text{ email}, \text{J@ed.ex})
                      (R_4, name, mick)
                                                      (R_5, name, keith)
                      (R_4, \text{ email}, \text{M@ed.ex}) (R_5, \text{ email}, \text{K@ed.ex})
              [(trs GRAPH \{(?X, name, ?N)\})]]_{\mathcal{D}}
                          [[\{(?X, name, ?N)\}]]_{G}
                                               mick
                              \mu_1
                                               keith
                              \mu_2
```

```
\begin{array}{c} \textit{G}_{0} \colon \\ \langle \; \mathsf{tb}, \; \textit{G}_{1} \colon & (\textit{R}_{1}, \; \mathsf{name, \; john}) & (\textit{R}_{2}, \; \mathsf{name, \; paul}) \\ \langle \; \mathsf{trs}, \; \textit{G}_{2} \colon & (\textit{R}_{4}, \; \mathsf{name, \; mick}) & (\textit{R}_{5}, \; \mathsf{name, \; keith}) \\ \langle \; \mathsf{R}_{4}, \; \mathsf{email, \; M@ed.ex} \rangle & (\textit{R}_{5}, \; \mathsf{email, \; K@ed.ex}) \end{array}
```

 \mathcal{D}

```
G_0:

\langle tb, G_1:

(R_1, \text{ name, john})

(R_2, \text{ name, paul})

\langle trs, G_2:

(R_4, \text{ name, mick})

(R_4, \text{ email, M@ed.ex})

(R_5, \text{ name, keith})

(R_5, \text{ email, K@ed.ex})

(R_6, \text{ email, K@ed.ex})
```

```
G_0:
\langle \mathsf{tb}, G_1: \quad (R_1, \mathsf{name}, \mathsf{john}) \quad (R_2, \mathsf{name}, \mathsf{paul}) \quad \rangle
\langle \mathsf{trs}, G_2: \quad (R_4, \mathsf{name}, \mathsf{mick}) \quad (R_5, \mathsf{name}, \mathsf{keith}) \quad \langle \mathsf{trs}, G_2: \quad (R_4, \mathsf{email}, \mathsf{M@ed.ex}) \quad (R_5, \mathsf{email}, \mathsf{K@ed.ex}) \quad \rangle
[[(?G \mathsf{GRAPH} \{(?X, \mathsf{name}, ?N)\})]]_{\mathcal{D}}
[[\{(?X, \mathsf{name}, ?N)\}]]_{G_1} \bowtie \{\{?G \to \mathsf{tb}\}\}
```

```
G_0:
\langle \mathsf{tb}, G_1: \quad (R_1, \mathsf{name}, \mathsf{john}) \quad (R_2, \mathsf{name}, \mathsf{paul}) \quad \rangle
\langle \mathsf{trs}, G_2: \quad (R_4, \mathsf{name}, \mathsf{mick}) \quad (R_5, \mathsf{name}, \mathsf{keith}) \quad \langle \mathsf{trs}, G_2: \quad (R_4, \mathsf{name}, \mathsf{mick}) \quad (R_5, \mathsf{name}, \mathsf{keith}) \quad \langle \mathsf{kf}, \mathsf{name}, \mathsf{keith} \rangle
[[(?G \mathsf{GRAPH} \{(?X, \mathsf{name}, ?N)\})]]_{\mathcal{D}}
[[\{(?X, \mathsf{name}, ?N)\}]]_{G_1} \bowtie \{\{?G \to \mathsf{tb}\}\} \quad \cup
```

```
G_0:
\langle \text{ tb, } G_1: \qquad (R_1, \text{ name, john}) \qquad (R_2, \text{ name, paul}) \rangle
\langle \text{ trs, } G_2: \qquad (R_4, \text{ name, mick}) \qquad (R_5, \text{ name, keith}) \qquad (R_5, \text{ email, K@ed.ex}) \rangle
[[(?G \text{ GRAPH } \{(?X, \text{ name, }?N)\})]_{\mathcal{D}}
[[\{(?X, \text{ name, }?N)\}]]_{G_1} \bowtie \{\{?G \rightarrow \text{tb}\}\} \cup [[\{(?X, \text{ name, }?N)\}]]_{G_2} \bowtie \{\{?G \rightarrow \text{trs}\}\}
```

```
G_0:
\langle \text{ tb, } G_1: \quad (R_1, \text{ name, john}) \quad (R_2, \text{ name, paul}) \rangle
\langle \text{ trs, } G_2: \quad (R_4, \text{ name, mick}) \quad (R_5, \text{ name, keith}) \quad (R_5, \text{ email, } K@ed.ex)
[[(?G \text{ GRAPH } \{(?X, \text{ name, }?N)\}]]_{\mathcal{D}}
[[\{(?X, \text{ name, }?N)\}]]_{G_1} \bowtie \{\{?G \rightarrow \text{tb}\}\} \cup [[\{(?X, \text{ name, }?N)\}]]_{G_2} \bowtie \{\{?G \rightarrow \text{trs}\}\}
```

		? <i>N</i>	
			$\bowtie \{\{?G \rightarrow tb\}$
μ_2	R_2	paul	

```
\mathcal{D}
         G_0:
                   (R_1, name, john)
                                                   (R_2, name, paul)
 ⟨ tb, G<sub>1</sub>:
                   (R_1, email, J@ed.ex)
\langle \text{ trs, } G_2:
                  (R_4, name, mick)
                                            (R_5, \text{ name, keith})
                    (R_4, \text{ email}, \text{M@ed.ex}) (R_5, \text{ email}, \text{K@ed.ex})
              [(?G GRAPH \{(?X, name, ?N)\})]]_{\mathcal{D}}
         [[\{(?X, name, ?N)\}]]_G \bowtie \{\{?G \rightarrow \mathsf{tb}\}\} \cup
           [\{(?X, name, ?N)\}]_G \bowtie \{\{?G \rightarrow trs\}\}
```

		? <i>N</i>			? <i>N</i>	
μ_1	R_1	john	$\bowtie \{\{?G \rightarrow tb\}\} \cup \mu_3$	R_4	mick	$\bowtie \{\{?G \rightarrow trs\}\}$
μ_2	R_2	paul	$\mu_{ extsf{4}}$	R_5	keith	

```
\mathcal{D}
        G_0:
                    (R_1, name, john)
                                                   (R_2, name, paul)
\langle tb, G_1 :
                    (R_1, email, J@ed.ex)
⟨ trs, G<sub>2</sub>:
                    (R_4, name, mick)
                                              (R_5, name, keith)
                    (R_4, \text{ email}, \text{M@ed.ex}) (R_5, \text{ email}, \text{K@ed.ex})
             [(?G GRAPH \{(?X, name, ?N)\})]]_{\mathcal{D}}
         [[\{(?X, name, ?N)\}]]_G \bowtie \{\{?G \rightarrow tb\}\} \cup
           [\{(?X, name, ?N)\}]_G \bowtie \{\{?G \rightarrow trs\}\}
      ?N
    john \bowtie \{\{?G \rightarrow \mathsf{tb}\}\} \cup \mu_3
                                                   R_4
                                                            mick \bowtie \{\{?G \rightarrow \mathsf{trs}\}\}
                                                             keith
     paul
                                                   R_5
                                             \mu_4
```

? <i>G</i>	?X	?N	
tb	R_1	john	
tb	R_2	paul	
trs	R_4	mick	
trs	R_5	keith	

 μ_1

 μ_2

- ▶ Up to this point we have concentrated in the body of a SPARQL query, i.e. in the graph pattern matching expression.
- A query can also process the values of the variables. The most simple processing operation is the selection of some variables appearing in the query.

- ▶ Up to this point we have concentrated in the body of a SPARQL query, i.e. in the graph pattern matching expression.
- A query can also process the values of the variables. The most simple processing operation is the selection of some variables appearing in the query.

Definition

- A SELECT query is a tuple (W, P) where P is a graph pattern and W is a set of variable.
- lacktriangle The answer of a SELECT query against a dataset ${\cal D}$ is

$$\{\mu_{|_W} \mid \mu \in [\![P]\!]_{\mathcal{D}}\}$$

where $\mu_{|_W}$ is the restriction of μ to domain W.



- ▶ Up to this point we have concentrated in the body of a SPARQL query, i.e. in the graph pattern matching expression.
- ▶ A query can also process the values of the variables. The most simple processing operation is the selection of some variables appearing in the query.

Definition

- A SELECT query is a tuple (W, P) where P is a graph pattern and W is a set of variable.
- lacktriangle The answer of a SELECT query against a dataset ${\cal D}$ is

$$\{\mu_{|_W} \mid \mu \in [\![P]\!]_{\mathcal{D}}\}$$

where $\mu_{|_W}$ is the restriction of μ to domain W



- ▶ Up to this point we have concentrated in the body of a SPARQL query, i.e. in the graph pattern matching expression.
- A query can also process the values of the variables. The most simple processing operation is the selection of some variables appearing in the query.

Definition

- A SELECT query is a tuple (W, P) where P is a graph pattern and W is a set of variable.
- lacktriangle The answer of a SELECT query against a dataset ${\cal D}$ is

$$\{\mu_{|_W} \mid \mu \in \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\mathcal{D}}\}$$

where $\mu_{|_W}$ is the restriction of μ to domain W.



CONSTRUCT

- A query can also output an RDF graph.
- ▶ The construction of the output graph is based on a template.
- ▶ A template is a set of triple patterns possibly with bnodes.

Example

$$T_1 = \{(?X, name, ?Y), (?X, info, ?I), (?X, addr, B)\}$$

with B a bnode

Definition

A CONSTRUCT query is a tuple (T, P) where P is a graph pattern and T is a template.

CONSTRUCT

- A query can also output an RDF graph.
- ▶ The construction of the output graph is based on a template.
- ► A template is a set of triple patterns possibly with bnodes.

Example

$$T_1 = \{(?X, \text{ name, } ?Y), (?X, \text{ info, } ?I), (?X, \text{ addr, } B)\}$$

with B a bnode

Definition

▶ A CONSTRUCT query is a tuple (T, P) where P is a graph pattern and T is a template.

CONSTRUCT

- A query can also output an RDF graph.
- ▶ The construction of the output graph is based on a template.
- ► A template is a set of triple patterns possibly with bnodes.

Example

$$T_1 = \{(?X, \text{ name, } ?Y), (?X, \text{ info, } ?I), (?X, \text{ addr, } B)\}$$

with B a bnode

Definition

A CONSTRUCT query is a tuple (T, P) where P is a graph pattern and T is a template.

Definition

The answer of a CONSTRUCT query (T, P) against a dataset \mathcal{D} is obtained by

- ▶ for every $\mu \in [\![P]\!]_{\mathcal{D}}$ create a template T_{μ} with fresh bnodes
- ▶ take the union of $\mu(T_{\mu})$ for every $\mu \in \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\mathcal{D}}$
- discard the not valid RDF triples
 - some variables have not been instantiated.
 - bnodes in predicate positions

Definition

The answer of a CONSTRUCT query (T,P) against a dataset $\mathcal D$ is obtained by

- ▶ for every $\mu \in [\![P]\!]_{\mathcal{D}}$ create a template T_{μ} with fresh bnodes
- ▶ take the union of $\mu(T_{\mu})$ for every $\mu \in \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\mathcal{D}}$
- discard the not valid RDF triples
 - some variables have not been instantiated.
 - bnodes in predicate positions

Definition

The answer of a CONSTRUCT query (T, P) against a dataset \mathcal{D} is obtained by

- ▶ for every $\mu \in [\![P]\!]_{\mathcal{D}}$ create a template T_{μ} with fresh bnodes
- ▶ take the union of $\mu(T_{\mu})$ for every $\mu \in \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\mathcal{D}}$
- discard the not valid RDF triples
 - some variables have not been instantiated.
 - bnodes in predicate positions

Definition

The answer of a CONSTRUCT query (T, P) against a dataset \mathcal{D} is obtained by

- ▶ for every $\mu \in [\![P]\!]_{\mathcal{D}}$ create a template T_{μ} with fresh bnodes
- ▶ take the union of $\mu(T_{\mu})$ for every $\mu \in \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\mathcal{D}}$
- discard the not valid RDF triples
 - some variables have not been instantiated.
 - bnodes in predicate positions

Definition

The answer of a CONSTRUCT query (T,P) against a dataset $\mathcal D$ is obtained by

- ▶ for every $\mu \in [\![P]\!]_{\mathcal{D}}$ create a template T_{μ} with fresh bnodes
- ▶ take the union of $\mu(T_{\mu})$ for every $\mu \in \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\mathcal{D}}$
- discard the not valid RDF triples
 - some variables have not been instantiated.
 - bnodes in predicate positions

Definition

The answer of a CONSTRUCT query (T, P) against a dataset \mathcal{D} is obtained by

- ▶ for every $\mu \in [\![P]\!]_{\mathcal{D}}$ create a template T_{μ} with fresh bnodes
- ▶ take the union of $\mu(T_{\mu})$ for every $\mu \in \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\mathcal{D}}$
- discard the not valid RDF triples
 - some variables have not been instantiated.
 - bnodes in predicate positions

- We allow now bnodes in triple patterns.
- ▶ Bnodes act as existentials scoped to the basic graph pattern.

- We allow now bnodes in triple patterns.
- ▶ Bnodes act as existentials scoped to the basic graph pattern.

Definition

The evaluation of the BGP P with bnodes over the graph G denoted $[\![P]\!]_G$, is the set of all mappings μ such that:

- ▶ $dom(\mu)$ is exactly the set of variables occurring in P,
- \blacktriangleright there exists a function θ from bnodes of P to G such that

$$\mu(\theta(P)) \subseteq G$$

- We allow now bnodes in triple patterns.
- ▶ Bnodes act as existentials scoped to the basic graph pattern.

Definition

The evaluation of the BGP P with bnodes over the graph G denoted $[\![P]\!]_G$, is the set of all mappings μ such that:

- ▶ $dom(\mu)$ is exactly the set of variables occurring in P,
- \blacktriangleright there exists a function θ from bnodes of P to G such that

$$\mu(\theta(P)) \subseteq G$$
.

- We allow now bnodes in triple patterns.
- ▶ Bnodes act as existentials scoped to the basic graph pattern.

Definition

The evaluation of the BGP P with bnodes over the graph G denoted $[\![P]\!]_G$, is the set of all mappings μ such that:

- ▶ $dom(\mu)$ is exactly the set of variables occurring in P,
- ▶ there exists a function θ from bnodes of P to G such that

$$\mu(\theta(P)) \subseteq G$$
.

- We allow now bnodes in triple patterns.
- ▶ Bnodes act as existentials scoped to the basic graph pattern.

Definition

The evaluation of the BGP P with bnodes over the graph G denoted $[\![P]\!]_G$, is the set of all mappings μ such that:

- ▶ $dom(\mu)$ is exactly the set of variables occurring in P,
- ▶ there exists a function θ from bnodes of P to G such that

$$\mu(\theta(P)) \subseteq G$$
.

- A natural extension of BGPs without bnodes.
- ▶ The algebra remains the same.

4□→ 4□→ 4 □→ 4 □→ □ ● 900

Bag/Multiset semantics

- ▶ In a bag, a mapping can have cardinality greater than one.
- ▶ Every mapping μ in a bag M is annotated with an integer $c_M(\mu)$ that represents its cardinality $(c_M(\mu) = 0 \text{ if } \mu \notin M)$.
- Operations between sets of mappings can be extended to bags maintaining duplicates:

Bag/Multiset semantics

- ▶ In a bag, a mapping can have cardinality greater than one.
- ▶ Every mapping μ in a bag M is annotated with an integer $c_M(\mu)$ that represents its cardinality $(c_M(\mu) = 0 \text{ if } \mu \notin M)$.
- Operations between sets of mappings can be extended to bags maintaining duplicates:

Definition

$$\begin{split} \mu \in M &= M_1 \bowtie M_2, \quad \mathsf{c}_M(\mu) = \sum_{\mu = \mu_1 \cup \mu_2} \mathsf{c}_{M_1}(\mu_1) \cdot \mathsf{c}_{M_2}(\mu_2), \\ \mu \in M &= M_1 \cup M_2, \quad \mathsf{c}_M(\mu) = \ \mathsf{c}_{M_1}(\mu) + \mathsf{c}_{M_2}(\mu), \\ \mu \in M &= M_1 \setminus M_2, \quad \mathsf{c}_M(\mu) = \ \mathsf{c}_{M_1}(\mu). \end{split}$$

Bag/Multiset semantics

- ▶ In a bag, a mapping can have cardinality greater than one.
- ▶ Every mapping μ in a bag M is annotated with an integer $c_M(\mu)$ that represents its cardinality $(c_M(\mu) = 0 \text{ if } \mu \notin M)$.
- Operations between sets of mappings can be extended to bags maintaining duplicates:

Definition

$$\begin{split} \mu \in M &= M_1 \bowtie M_2, \quad \mathsf{c}_M(\mu) = \sum_{\mu = \mu_1 \cup \mu_2} \mathsf{c}_{M_1}(\mu_1) \cdot \mathsf{c}_{M_2}(\mu_2), \\ \mu \in M &= M_1 \cup M_2, \quad \mathsf{c}_M(\mu) = \ \mathsf{c}_{M_1}(\mu) + \mathsf{c}_{M_2}(\mu), \\ \mu \in M &= M_1 \smallsetminus M_2, \quad \mathsf{c}_M(\mu) = \ \mathsf{c}_{M_1}(\mu). \end{split}$$

▶ Intuition: we simply do not discard duplicates.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆■▶ ◆■▶ ● めぐぐ

References

- ▶ R. Cyganiak, A Relational Algebra for SPARQL. Tech Report HP Laboratories, HPL-2005-170.
- E. Prud'hommeaux, A. Seaborne, SPARQL Query Language for RDF. W3C Working Draft, 2007.
- ▶ J. Pérez, M. Arenas, C. Gutierrez, Semantics and Complexity of SPARQL. In Int. Semantic Web Conference 2006.
- J. Pérez, M. Arenas, C. Gutierrez, Semantics of SPARQL.
 Tech Report Universidad de Chile 2006, TR/DCC-2006-17.